

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/533,980	ARAKEL ET AL	
	Examiner /Peter A. Hruskoci/	Art Unit 1797	

**All Participants:**

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Raymond D. Smith

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 July 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 12/1/08*

**Claims discussed:**

All

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Prior art of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendments to the claims were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record. Mr. Smith agreed to E-file a supplemental amendment for formal consideration.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)